

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,023	09/11/2003	Seung-Hwan Moon	YOM-0059 5903	
75	11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael A. Cantor			VU, DAVID HUNG	
55 Griffin Sout	h Road			
Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,			1/85			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Co		10/660,023	MOON, SEUNG-HWA	N .			
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Vu	2828				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres)S			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION der the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a re , the maximum statutory product de period for reply will, by status an three months after the maili	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N te, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.			
Status							
•	2b)⊠ Th in condition for allow	is action is non-final.	atters, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allo 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,16 and 20</u> i 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,17-19</u> is/are	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14,16 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15,17-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
Replacement drawing she	is/are: a) ac that any objection to the et(s) including the corre	cepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abeg ction is required if the drawi	to by the Examiner. vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 ned Office Action or form PTO-1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, subject matters in claims 1 and 2,i.e., "the plurality of inverters comprise a first inverter receiving the input ON/OFF signal from the external device and a second inverter receiving the input ON/OFF signal from one of the plurality of inverters and the inverters are connected in series" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Specification

2. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,707,264.

Lee et al disclose the claimed invention including lamp units each lamp unit including at least one lamp LOAD1-LOADn, 0-11, the apparatus comprising: a delay block 16 receiving an input ON/OFF signal and stepwise delaying the input ON/OFF signal to generate a plurality of output ON/OFF signals; and a plurality of inverters 130 controlling the lighting of the respective lamp units based on the respective output ON/OFF signals (figures 2,7,9, 12, and 14b; column 2, lines 50+, column 4, lines 50+, column 5, lines 17+, column 8, lines 40-50, column 11, lines 50+, column 14, lines 1-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al, U.S. Pub No 2003/0038770 in view of Lin et al.

Lee et al disclose a liquid crystal display comprising: a panel assembly including a plurality of pixels, a plurality of gate lines 610 connected to the pixels, and a plurality of data lines 620 connected to the pixels; a plurality of lamp units 510,520 for illuminating the panel assembly; a gate driver 200 for providing signals for the gate

Page 4

lines; a data driver 310 for providing signals for the data lines; a controller 100 for providing image signals for the data driver and control signals for the gate driver and the data driver and generating ON/OFF signal for driving the lamp units and inverters 410-420 (figures 2,4,5, paragraphs 29-32,34,40,42,44). Lee et al do not explicitly disclose a delay block delaying the ON/OFF signal. Lin et al disclose delay block 16 for delaying ON/OFF signal. An obvious modification would have provided the Lee et al reference with the delay block for delaying the ON/OFF signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the Lee et al reference with the delay block for delaying the ON/OFF signal from the controller; thus, sequentially turning on of the lamps would have been realized.

Regarding claim 20, RC circuit was notoriously well know in the art; thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have employed an RC circuit so as to delay signal from the controller.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 15 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patent by Stevanovic et al is cited as showing a lamp circuit employing an RC circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-430pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2828